



AFRRI

93-1

TECHNICAL REPORT

Assessment of the Risks from Imbedded Fragments of Depleted Uranium



DTIC
ELECTE
JUL 14 1993
S E D

LTC Eric G. Daxon, MS, USA
CPT Jeffery H. Musk, OD, USA

93-15935

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			<i>Form Approved</i> <i>OMB No. 0704-0188</i>					
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503								
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE March 1993	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical Report						
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Assessment of the Risks From Imbedded Depleted Uranium Fragments		5. FUNDING NUMBERS PE: NWED QAXM						
6. AUTHOR(S) Daxon, E. G., and Musk, J. H.		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER TR-93-1						
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute 8901 Wisconsin Ave. Bethesda, Md. 20889-5603								
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Defense Nuclear Agency 6801 Telegraph Road Alexandria, Va. 22310-3398		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER						
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES								
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE					
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 60%;"> 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; margin-top: 20px;">DOE QUALITY INSPECTED 8</div> </div> <div style="width: 35%; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> Accession For NTIS CRA&I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DTIC TAB <input type="checkbox"/> Unannounced <input type="checkbox"/> Justification _____ By _____ Distribution / _____ Availability Codes <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%; text-align: center;">Dist</td> <td style="width: 70%; text-align: center;">Avail and/or Special</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">A-1</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> </div> </div>					Dist	Avail and/or Special	A-1	
Dist	Avail and/or Special							
A-1								
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15					
			16. PRICE CODE					
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR					

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

CLASSIFIED BY:

DECLASSIFY ON:

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE



DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY
ARMED FORCES RADIOBIOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE
BETHESDA, MARYLAND 20889-5145

BRP

27 March 1992

**MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR, PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, OFFICE OF THE
SURGEON GENERAL (SGPS-PSP)**

SUBJECT: Research Request; Health Effects of Depleted Uranium Imbedded in Tissue

Reference: Brigadier General Ronald R. Blanck (SGPS-PSP) letter of 26 February 1992

In response to your letter of 26 February 1992, subject as above, AFRRI has conducted a detailed review of the pertinent scientific literature regarding the health effects of depleted uranium (DU) fragments which are imbedded in tissue. In addition, we have consulted with a wide range of scientists with expertise in this area. A summary of our findings is attached.

It is clear from our analysis that there are several areas in which there is little or no scientific data which would enable more definitive risk assessments to be made. Nevertheless, in order to meet your operational requirements, attachment (1) addresses each of the issues raised in your letter. To address areas in which there remains substantial scientific uncertainty, attachment (1) also identifies specific research needs.

Based on available data, in almost all cases, we recommend that standard medical criteria should be used to determine the advisability of the removal of imbedded DU fragments without regard to the radiological characteristics of the fragment. More specific guidance is provided in attachment (1).

Point of contact is Lieutenant Colonel Eric G. Daxon, Chief, Operational Dosimetry Division, Radiation Biophysics Department, 301-295-2299.

Attachment:
as stated


ROBERT L. BUMGARNER
Captain, MC, USN
Director

AFRRI Technical Report 93-1

**ASSESSMENT OF THE RISKS
FROM IMBEDDED DEPLETED URANIUM
FRAGMENTS**

Prepared by:

**Eric G. Daxon, LTC, MS, USA
and
Jeffery H. Musk, CPT, OD, USA**

March 1993

Radiation Biophysics Department

**Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute
8901 Wisconsin Avenue
Bethesda, Maryland 20889-5603**

**CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
Distribution Unlimited**

Assessment of the Risks From Imbedded Depleted Uranium Fragments

1. General

a. Authority

Letter, Office of The Army Surgeon General, (SGPS-PSP), Subject: Research Request; Health Effects of Depleted Uranium Imbedded in Tissue, 26 February 1992.

b. Mission

Assess the health risks associated with implanted DU fragments in the body to provide medical guidance for current and future patients with these fragments and provide recommendations for future research.

2. Background

The primary conclusion of the review of the uranium literature¹⁻¹⁶ and discussions with others¹⁷⁻²⁶ in the field is that this situation is radiologically and toxicologically unique. The health risks of allowing depleted uranium (DU) fragments or any other radioactive heavy metal to remain imbedded in an organ have not been studied. The uranium literature reviewed is focused on inhaled or ingested uranium compounds. There is only one reported instance of a DU fragment accidentally injected subcutaneously in a patient. This case, as reported by Cole,^{22,27} provides little information for long-term effects because the fragment was surgically removed after 8 months.^{22,27}

3. Chemical Toxicity

The toxicological effects of uranium are well known. The target organ for uranium heavy metal toxicity is the kidney. The literature concerning the acute effects of uranium heavy-metal poisoning on the kidney is extensive and is summarized in recent articles by Leggett¹², Diamond¹³ and Kocher.¹⁴ While the generally accepted threshold level for kidney toxicity is from 1-3 μg of uranium per gram of kidney mass,^{1,13,14} there is considerable discussion in recent literature concerning this limit.^{12,13,14}

A review of uranium toxicology conducted by USAEHA¹¹ concluded that, while there was substantial toxicologic data for inhaled and ingested uranium compounds, there was little or no data for the metabolic behavior of implanted DU fragments. Key uncertainties include organ specific solubilities; organ specific retention functions; the metabolic impact of a source term other than the lung or GI tract; the potential for chronic kidney toxicity; the impact of fibrotic encapsulation, if it occurs; and the chemical form of the imbedded fragment.

The potential for wound contamination (the injection of small sub-millimeter fragments) and for spallation of small fragments from large fragments introduces two additional dispersal mechanisms - macrophage transport and the physical movement of intact particles by the blood stream. The impact of both is an increase in the rate at which uranium is deposited in the kidney and other organs. De Rey et al.² found insoluble UO_2 particles in the kidney 6 to 48 hours after injection of 4 to 40 micrometer diameter UO_2 micro-spheres into the subcutaneous tissue of the dorsal skin of female rats. This is significantly quicker than predicted by standard metabolic transfer models for insoluble compounds of uranium.

These limitations and uncertainties preclude a definitive assessment of the toxicologic risks of allowing DU fragments to remain in the body for extended periods of time.

4. Radiological Effects

The literature is extensive concerning the deterministic and stochastic effects of acute and chronic exposure to inhaled and ingested uranium compounds.^{1,28-32} The lack of data for imbedded uranium fragments precluded a direct determination of the potential long-term radiological effects of these fragments. An estimate of the potential effects was obtained by reviewing the literature available for plutonium, Thorotrast, and hot-particles.

The plutonium (Pu) literature³³⁻⁴⁴ reviewed also focused on inhalation and ingestion, but there were several studies that dealt with injected plutonium compounds. Lushbaugh^{19,34,42} and Langham et al.⁴² summarize the findings of studies of eight patients with injected plutonium. Lagerquist et al.⁴³ and Carbaugh et al.⁴⁴ discuss patients with plutonium contamination of puncture wounds. While these studies are somewhat useful, their usefulness is limited because the exposure duration was relatively short (the longest was 5-8 years), the particle sizes were small, and in each case the wounds were debrided to removed the injected plutonium. For both the animal and human studies, the plutonium injected was in the form of the fine particulates expected from injection wounds caused by contaminated, sharp tools.

The Thorotrast literature is extensive^{1,34,47-62} and important because of the radiological similarities with the situation under study. Thorotrast is a colloidal suspension of thorium dioxide (ThO₂) that was used as an intravenously-injected contrast agent for radiographic imaging from the late 1920's until the late 1950's when its long-term radiologic health effects became apparent.^{45,46} The Thorotrast literature provides the most definitive evidence that both clinically-significant deterministic and stochastic effects are possible from long-term irradiation of low dose-rate α and β emitting radionuclides.

However, the differences in particle size and chemical properties between Thorotrast and DU are significant enough to preclude a direct application of the data. The ThO₂ particles in Thorotrast were small enough (nanometers in size) to be engulfed by both the mobile and fixed macrophages in the reticuloendothelial (RE) system which led to a time dependent, selective concentration in the liver and spleen. This time dependence makes dose-dependent extrapolations from Thorotrast data to a DU fragment difficult. In addition, the selective retention by the RE system limited the exposure to the organs in this system.

Although directed specifically at the radiation effects on the skin of a highly radioactive, beta-emitting particle, the hot-particle research literature⁶³⁻⁷⁰ provides valuable information concerning the differences between the highly nonuniform irradiation that results from an imbedded fragment and the results of the uniform organ irradiation upon which assessments of radiation risk are based. Specifically, the hot particle research sheds light on the relationship between the fraction of an organ system irradiated and the dose required to produce both deterministic and stochastic effects. The primary conclusion of this work is that the radiation risk of both endpoints is dependent upon dose and the number of cells irradiated.

Based upon this review, the following radiobiological effects are possible from imbedded DU fragments.

a. Granuloma Production

Cole's^{22,27} experience and Lushbaugh's^{19,34,42} work indicate that granuloma production in the muscle and fatty tissue will probably occur and will occur in all other tissue types that elicit similar cellular responses to foreign bodies. It is still questionable whether this encapsulation is permanent or will undergo the degradation-regeneration cycle suggested by Lushbaugh for the plutonium cases he studied.

The data to date are insufficient to allow a determination of whether Thorotrastoma-like growths are possible. A Thorotrastoma is a large growth that appears at the sites of extravascular Thorotrast with a latent period of from 5-35 years postinjection.^{17,47,48,53,60} These granulomas grow to large sizes; in a few cases, clinically significant blood vessels and/or nerves were enveloped, resulting in fatal conditions.²⁴ While a strictly chemical causation cannot be dismissed, there is sufficient evidence to suggest a radiogenic mechanism.

b. Local Tissue Necrosis

The results of the Thorotrast, lung inhalation studies, and animal studies showed that local tissue necrosis followed by fibrosis was possible from the long-term irradiation of tissues by a low dose-rate, α and β emitting radionuclide.

Dose estimates made at AFRRI based upon published data^{71,72,73,74} indicated that the probability of deterministic effects at distances greater than 1-3 mm from the surface of any fragment is negligibly small. Depth-dose calculations indicated that at the distances from the surface of all particle sizes studied (1-4 mm in diameter) the dose-rates were less than the repopulation dose-rate for non-proliferative cells provided by the ICRP²⁸ (1-5 mGy/d). The assumption in this analysis is that at distances greater than this, deterministic effects will not occur because cell repopulation will compensate for cell death for most tissue types. The most notable exception to this assumption is mature neural tissue, the neurons of which do not usually have a proliferative potential.

The clinical significance of necrosis at distances closer to the fragment is dependent upon the location of the fragment and the body's response to the fragment. Lushbaugh,³⁴ in his analysis of cases of injected plutonium, found that "...metallic plutonium implanted in the skin in minute amounts elicits a foreign-body reaction of the granulomatous type, which after subsiding in cellular activity becomes fibromatous." As time progressed, the collagen in the vicinity of the fragment liquified.

Lushbaugh speculated that the "pointed" nature of the granulomas he found and the fact that the granulomas became more superficial, suggested that the altered collagen might induce a cycle of inflammatory reaction followed by a reorganization and re-liquefaction of the collagen.

c. Whole-Organ Deterministic Effects

The potential for multiple fragments in a single organ led to the examination of the potential for whole-organ deterministic effects. A whole-organ deterministic effect is defined as one in which there is a clinically significant compromise of organ function due to the ionizing radiations emitted by one or more DU fragments.

The appearance of whole-organ deterministic effects from acute, high dose rate exposure is well documented. Mettler and Mosely,⁷⁵ Conklin and Walker,⁷⁶ and ICRP 41²⁸ provide excellent summaries with extensive bibliographies for whole-organ deterministic effects based primarily on examination of the Japanese atomic bomb survivors, radiation accident victims, and radiation therapy patients. Direct extrapolation from high dose rate, acute exposure to low dose rate protracted exposure is difficult because of the dose rate dependence of the threshold dose required to produce a deterministic effect.²⁸

The results of inhalation studies with uranium and plutonium summarized in ICRP 31³⁰ and in other references^{6,7,33,39} show that whole organ deterministic effects are possible from inhaled particulates. The Thorotrast studies^{1,34,47-62} provide the clearest evidence that deterministic effects are possible from protracted exposures to low dose rate internal alpha emitting isotopes. These studies showed that both fibrosis of the spleen and cirrhosis of the liver could be related to the radiation emitted by the thorium dioxide (ThO₂) in the Thorotrast. The latent period for the onset of clinically significant liver cirrhosis was on the order of 20 years after Thorotrast administration.⁵⁷ The latent period for significant spleen fibrosis was not reported but is assumed to be comparable.

A dose calculation, made using similar methodology as described above, showed that the risk of whole-organ stochastic effects do not become significant until the fragment density in the organ exceeds one fragment per cm³ of organ volume for the fragment sizes considered (1-4 mm diameter). At particle densities greater than this, the average dose rate in the organ will exceed the repopulation dose rate for non-proliferative cells.

d. Stochastic Effects

The standard ICRP stochastic-risk-estimation methodology⁷⁷ is directly applicable for systemic DU but can be used only with caution when assessing the risks of imbedded DU fragments. There are several unknowns that could cause this and similar procedures to either overestimate or underestimate the stochastic risks. Included are these specifics:

(1) The hot-particle research indicates that the risk from an imbedded fragment could be significantly less because fewer cells are irradiated. ICRP methodology assumes that the dose is uniformly distributed over all of the cells in the organ while a DU fragment will irradiate only the cells within a finite range of the fragment.

(2) The Thorotrast experience showed evidence that the constant irradiation of the same cell population could increase the risk by adding necrosis-regeneration as an additional cancer induction mechanism. This mechanism is not considered in the ICRP models or cancer risk estimates.

An estimate of the stochastic risk posed by an implanted, insoluble fragment was made by calculating the effective dose equivalent (H_E) for a range of fragments (1-4 mm) for each organ listed in ICRP 60.³¹ The actual organ weights were used to calculate the dose as were the actual weighting factors (w_T). The calculation was performed assuming that alpha dose could be ignored because the energy of these particles will be expended producing lethal damage to the cells adjacent to the fragment and thus contribute nothing to the stochastic risk.

For the largest fragment size evaluated (4 mm), the highest H_E is in the thyroid because of its relatively small mass. In this case, H_E is 1 mSv/y (100 mRem/y). Using current risk estimates,³² these values represent an increase in lifetime risk of fatal cancer of 0.3%. The value for other organs will be substantially lower because of their larger masses.

At this point in the discussion, it is important to recognize that this risk estimate is based upon a single, insoluble fragment imbedded in an organ and does not include the risk from systemic DU.

5. Conclusions

a. Chronic kidney toxicity is a potentially clinically significant health effect from imbedded DU fragments. While the toxicology of uranium in the kidney is well known, little is known about the toxico-kinetic behavior of imbedded uranium. This information is required to make definitive estimates of both the toxicological and radiological risk.

b. Based upon the literature reviewed, the potential exists for both stochastic and deterministic radiation effects from the long-term exposure to imbedded DU fragments.

(1) *The most clinically significant, radiogenic effect is the potential for a Thorotrastoma-like growth to form at the site of single or multiple imbedded-fragments. The risk, if any, of this growth formation cannot be estimated. It is still uncertain whether this is a radiation effect or an effect due to the chemical nature of the Thorotrast colloid.*

(2) *The risks of fragments near neural tissues should be carefully assessed because of the nonproliferative nature of these cells.*

(3) *The potential does exist for whole-organ deterministic effects but only for organs with a large number of imbedded fragments. The point at which this effect is likely to occur requires a detailed estimate of the dose to the organ from all sources of DU. First order, dose estimates indicate that particle densities greater than one fragment per cm^3 of organ volume are required as long as the fragments are insoluble and there are no other sources of DU in the body. Fragment sizes considered in this calculation range in diameter from 1-4 mm.*

(4) *Using the best risk estimation procedures available, the estimated increased lifetime risk of fatal cancer from a single, insoluble, DU fragment in any organ is at most 0.3%. Scaling this risk for multiple fragments or fragments with systemic DU is difficult and should be done on a case-by-case basis after assessing the total DU content in the patient.*

c. The toxicological and radiological unknowns are significant enough to warrant both follow-up of current patients and research to more clearly define the long-term risks associated with these fragments. This is especially important in light of the latent periods noted for both deterministic and stochastic radiogenic effects.

6. Clinical Recommendations

a. The primary clinical recommendation is to continue to use standard medical criteria for fragment removal. Include consideration of the potential impact of a granuloma or a Thorotrastoma-like growth as a part of the decision making process for fragment removal as well as the potential for tissue necrosis for fragments lodged in or within 1-3 mm of neural tissue.

b. Determine the total amount of DU in the patient and continue to monitor patients with confirmed DU fragments for signs of kidney toxicity and any of the radiological endpoints discussed. Monitoring is required primarily because of the toxicological but also because of the radiological uncertainties.

c. If fragments are excised based upon accepted clinical criteria, save the fragment and surrounding tissue for further analysis.

7. Research Recommendations

a. Epidemiology

Establish a registry that will allow for the efficient acquisition, cataloging, and analysis of the results of patient monitoring. This effort should include

(1) periodic examinations to watch for and catalogue signs of chronic kidney toxicity, granuloma induction, and cancer;

(2) periodic bioassay and whole-body counting to determine the metabolic behavior of the internalized DU and to provide information concerning the solubility of the DU; and

(3) a program for tissue analysis if fragments are subsequently removed for medical reasons.

b. Animal Model Experimentation

The primary objective of animal model experimentation is to allow a detailed observation and study of the pathology of these fragments under controlled conditions. The specific objectives of this experimentation should include the following steps:

(1) Accurately assess the toxico-kinetic properties of the various chemical forms of DU that could be imbedded in patients.

(2) Investigate whether there are DU specific cancer induction mechanisms similar those observed in Thorotrast-specific liver cancers.

(3) Determine whether the radiogenic deterministic effects noted above occur and, if they do, at what fragment densities and latent periods.

(4) Assess the impact of long-term, low-dose-rate irradiation of specific tissues such as those of the nervous system.

(5) Determine the potential for chronic nephrotoxicity as a function of organ in which the DU is implanted

(6) Conduct pathological studies of the tissue surrounding the fragment.

c. Dosimetry

Perform definitive absorbed dose calculations using advanced techniques to determine the significance of particle size and shape.

Acknowledgements

This document could not have been prepared in the time frame allotted without the expert assistance the authors received from scientists at AFRRI and from the scientific community at large. The expert critique and many fruitful discussions with CDR E. Kearsley, AFRRI, are gratefully acknowledged and were keys to the successful completion of this document. The keen radiobiological insights provided by Dr. E. J. Ainsworth, AFRRI, and Dr. P. Durbin, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, showed the way for many of the approaches taken in this work. A special note of thanks is due to Dr. Durbin for her excellent discussion of the applicability of the Thorotrast data to this work.

The medical advice of Dr. R. Bumgarner, AFRRI, and Dr. D. Browne, AFRRI, was crucial in forming the clinical recommendations of this work. The review and advice given by CAPT W. Flor and Dr. R. Young, RARP, DNA, are gratefully appreciated. The experience of Mr. L. Cole (Aerospace Ordnance) with imbedded DU fragments was instrumental in the formation of one of the major conclusions of this report.

The efforts of Mr. M. Weeks, USAEHA, in reviewing the uranium toxicology literature were superb. His expertise and keen insights in this area were indispensable. Mr. R. Swatski, USAEHA, deserves a note of thanks for his assistance in bioassay techniques.

The insights and expertise of Dr. N. Wald and Mr. J. Rosen, University of Pittsburgh, concerning the need and methodology for quantifying DU in the body contributed significantly to the direction of this project.

As always, the personnel of REAC/TS were exceptionally helpful and shared both their time and expertise. A special note of thanks is due to Dr. Fry, Dr. Lushbaugh, and Dr. Ricks.

The scientists at the Inhalation Toxicology Research Institute (ITRI) and the Pacific Northwest Laboratories (PNL) Hanford Laboratories were especially helpful in sharing both their data and insights into this problem. A special note of thanks is due to Dr. B. Scott, ITRI, Dr. R. Guilmette, ITRI, Dr. E. H. Carbaugh, PNL, Dr. G. Dagle, PNL, and Dr. M. Swint, PNL.

The contributions of the Army personnel from the Walter Reed Army Medical Center (WRAMC) and Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS) are gratefully acknowledged. The work of CPT M. Melanson, WRAMC, Dr. Philips, WRAMC, and Dr. C. Ferguson, USUHS contributed to this effort.

References

1. National Research Council, Committee on the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation, 1988, *Health Risks of Radon and Other Internally Deposited Alpha-Emitters, BEIR IV*, (National Academy Press, Washington, D. C., 1988).
2. B. M. De Rey, H. E. Lanfranchi, and R. L. Cabrini, "Deposition pattern and toxicity of subcutaneously implanted uranium dioxide in rats," *Hlth. Phys.*, **46**, 688-692 (March, 1984).
3. W. Downs, H. Wilson, et. al. "Excretion of uranium by rats following inhalation of uranium dioxide," *Hlth. Phys.*, **13**, 445-453 (1967).
4. R. L. Kathren, "Implication of human tissue studies for radiation protection," *Hlth. Phys.*, **55**, 315-319 (August 1988).
5. R. L. Kathren, J. McInroy, R. Moore, and S. Dietert "Uranium in the tissues of an occupationally exposed individual," *Hlth. Phys.*, **57**, 17-21 (July 1989).
6. L. Leach, E. Maynard et. al., "A five-year inhalation study with natural uranium dioxide (UO₂) dust - I. Retention and biologic effect in the monkey, dog and rat," *Hlth. Phys.*, **18**, 599-612 (June 1970).
7. L. Leach, C. Yuile et. al., "A five-year inhalation study with natural uranium dioxide (UO₂) dust - II. Post exposure retention and biologic effects in the monkey, dog and rat," *Hlth. Phys.*, **18**, 599-612 (June 1970).
8. M. Quastel, H. Taniguchi, T. Overton, and J. Abbatt, "Excretion and retention by humans of chronically inhaled uranium dioxide," *Hlth. Phys.*, **18**, 233-244 (1970).
9. C. West and L. Scott, "Uranium cases showing long chest burden retention - an updating," *Hlth. Phys.*, **17**, 781-791 (1969).
10. C. West and L. Scott, "Uranium cases showing long chest burden retentions," *Hlth. Phys.*, **12**, 1545-1555 (1966).
11. Memorandum For DIR, AFRRI, ATTN: BRPD (LTC E. Daxon); Subject: Fragmented Uranium Toxicity, 18 March 1992; FROM: CDR, USAEHA, ATTN: HSHB-MO-T, APG, MD.
12. R. Leggett, "The behavior and chemical toxicity of U in the kidney: A reassessment," *Hlth. Phys.*, **57**, 365-383 (September 1989).

13. G. Diamond, "Biological consequences of exposure to soluble forms of natural uranium," *Rad. Prot. Dosimetry*, **26**, 23-33 (1989).
14. D. Kocher, "Relationship between kidney burden and radiation dose from chronic ingestion of U: Implications for radiation standards for the public," *Health. Phys.*, **57**, 9-15 (July 1989).
15. M. Wrenn, P. Durbin, B. Howard, et. al., "Metabolism of ingested U and Ra," *Health. Phys.*, **48**, 601-633 (May 1985).
16. M. Wrenn, J. Lipsztein, and L. Bertelli, "Pharmokinetic models relevant to toxicity and metabolism for uranium in humans and animals," *Rad. Prot. Dosimetry*, **26**, 243-248 (1989).
17. Personal communication with Dr. P. Durbin, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratories.
18. Personal communication with Dr. Fry, REAC/TS.
19. Personal communication with Dr. C. Lushbaugh.
20. Personal communication with Dr. Ricks, REAC/TS.
21. Personal communication with Mr. M. Weeks, USAEHA.
22. Personal communication with Mr. L. Cole, Aerojet Ordnance.
23. Personal communication with Mr. J. Rosen, University of Pittsburgh.
24. Personal communication with Dr. R. Guilmette, ITRI.
25. Personal communication with Dr. E. H. Carbaugh, PNL.
26. Personal communication with Dr. M. J. Swint, Hanford Environmental Health Foundation.
27. L. W. Cole, T. W. Wright, and S. V. Prewett, "A case study of the discovery of an imbedded uranium fragment in the chest of a worker at a depleted uranium manufacturing facility," *ABSTRACT, Health. Phys.*, **52**, **Suppl. 1**, S60 (1988).
28. *ICRP Publication 41, Nonstochastic Effects of Ionizing Radiation*, (Pergamon Press, N. Y., 1984).
29. *ICRP Publication 58, RBE for Deterministic Effects*, (Pergamon Press, N. Y., 1989).

30. ICRP Publication 31, *Biological Effects of Inhaled Radionuclides*, (Pergamon Press, N. Y., 1979).
31. ICRP Publication 60, *1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection*, (Pergamon Press, N. Y., 1991).
32. National Research Council, Committee on the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation, 1990, *Health Effects of Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation, BEIR V*, (National Academy Press, Washington, D. C., 1990).
33. D. Lundgren, J. Mauderly, A. Rebar et. al. "Modifying effects of preexisting pulmonary fibrosis on biological responses of rats to inhaled $^{239}\text{PuO}_2$," *Hlth. Phys.*, **60**, 353-363 (March 1991).
34. C. C. Lushbaugh et. al., "Histopathologic study of intradermal plutonium metal deposits: Their conjectured fate," 791-797, in *Distribution, Retention, and Late Effects of Thorium Dioxide*, R. Swarm Ed., Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., **145**, 791 (1967).
35. L. H. Hempelmann, W. H. Langham, C. R. Richmond, and G. L. Voelz, "Manhattan project plutonium workers: A twenty-seven year follow-up study of selected cases," *Hlth. Phys.*, **25**, 461-479 (November 1973).
36. G. Taylor, R. Lloyd, C. Mays, et. al. "Plutonium- or americium-induced liver tumors and lesions in beagles," *Hlth. Phys.*, **61**, 337-347 (September 1991).
37. C. R. Richmond, J. E. London, J. S. Wilson, and J. Langham, "Biological response to small discrete highly radioactive sources - I. Observations on gastrointestinal transit, histological change, and tissue deposition in beagles fed one-half curie $^{238}\text{PuO}_2$ for 6 months," *Hlth. Phys.*, **15**, 487-492 (1968).
38. C. R. Richmond, J. Langham and R. S. Stone, "Biological response to small discrete highly radioactive sources - II. Morphogenesis of microlesions in rat lungs from intravenously injected $^{238}\text{PuO}_2$ microspheres," *Hlth. Phys.*, **18**, 401-408 (1970).
39. B. Scott, F. Hahn, M. Snipes et. al. "Predicted and observed early effects of combined α and β lung irradiation," *Hlth. Phys.*, **59**, 791-805 (December 1990).
40. L. Johnson, R. Watters, C. Lagerquist, and S. Hammond, "Relative distribution of plutonium and americium following experimental PuO_2 implants," *Hlth. Phys.*, **19**, 743-749 (1970).
41. R. Bristline, R. Watters, and J. Lebel, "A study of translocation dynamics of plutonium and americium from simulated puncture wounds in beagle dogs," *Hlth. Phys.*, **22**, 829-831 (1972).

42. W. Langham, J. Lawrence, J. McClelland, and L. Hempelmann, "The Los Alamos scientific laboratory's experience with plutonium in man," *Hlth. Phys.*, **8**, 753-760 (1962).
43. C. Lagerquist, S. Hammond, and D. Hylton, "Distribution of plutonium and americium in the body 5 years after an exposure via contaminated puncture wound," *Hlth. Phys.*, **22**, 921-924 (1972).
44. E. Carbaugh, W. Decker, and M. Swint, "Medical and health physics management of a plutonium wound," *Hlth. Phys.*, **26**, 345-349 (1989).
45. J. Casper, "The introduction in 1928-29 of thorium dioxide in diagnostic radiology," 527-529, in *Distribution, Retention, and Late Effects of Thorium Dioxide*, R. Swarm, Ed., Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., **145** (December 1967).
46. R. Swarm, "Experience with colloidal thorium dioxide," 525-526, in *Distribution, Retention, and Late Effects of Thorium Dioxide*, R. Swarm, Ed., Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., **145** (December 1967).
47. S. Dahlgren, "Effects of locally deposited colloidal thorium dioxide," 786-790, in *Distribution, Retention, and Late Effects of Thorium Dioxide*, R. Swarm, Ed., Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., **145** (December 1967).
48. J. da Silva Horta, "Effects of colloidal thorium dioxide extravasates in the subcutaneous tissues of the cervical region in man," 776-785, in *Distribution, Retention, and Late Effects of Thorium Dioxide*, R. Swarm, Ed., Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., **145** (December 1967).
49. J. da Silva Horta et. al., "Malignancies in Portuguese Thorotrast patients," *Hlth. Phys.*, **35**, 137-151 (July 1978).
50. M. Faber, "Malignancies in Danish Thorotrast patients," *Hlth. Phys.*, **35**, 153-158 (July 1978).
51. A. S. Goldin, P. J. Magno, F. Geiger, and M. L. Janower, "Radionuclides in autopsy samples from thorotrast patients," *Hlth. Phys.*, **22**, 471-482 (May 1972).
52. R. Grillmaier and H. Muth, "Radiation dose distribution in the lungs of Thorotrast patients," *Hlth. Phys.*, **20**, 409-419 (April 1971).
53. G. van Kaick, D. Lorenz, H. Muth, and A. Kaul, "Malignancies in German Thorotrast patients and estimated tissue dose," *Hlth. Phys.*, **35**, 127-136 (July 1978).

54. V. Kato, T. Mori, and T. Kumatori, "Estimated absorbed dose in tissues and radiation effects in Japanese Thorotrast patients," *Hlth. Phys.*, **44 (SUP 1)**, 273-279 (1983).
55. A. Kaul and W. Noffz, "Tissue dose in thorotrast patients," *Hlth. Phys.*, **35**, 113-121 (July 1978).
56. K. G. McNeil, M. A. Rab Molla, and J. E. Harrison, "Distribution of thorium series nuclides in beagles after intraspinal thorotrast injection," *Hlth. Phys.*, **24**, 403-409 (April 1973).
57. T. Mori, Y. Kato, T. Kumatori, T. Maruyama, and S. Hatakeyama, "Epidemiological follow-up study of Japanese Thorotrast cases - 1980," *Hlth. Phys.*, **44 (SUP 1)**, 261-272 (1983).
58. R. H. Mole, "The radiobiological significance of the studies with ^{224}Ra and Thorotrast," *Hlth. Phys.*, **35**, 167-174 (July 1978).
59. T. Mori, Y. Kato, N. Aoki, and S. Hatakeyama, "Statistical analysis of Japanese Thorotrast- administered autopsy cases - 1980," *Hlth. Phys.*, **44 (SUP 1)**, 281-292 (1983).
60. B. J. Stover, "Effects of Thorotrast in humans," *Hlth. Phys.*, **44 (SUP 1)**, 253-257 (1983).
61. K. Wegener, K. Hasenohr, and H. Wesch, "Recent results of the German Thorotrast study - pathoanatomical changes in animal experiments and comparison to human Thorotrastosis," *Hlth. Phys.*, **44 (SUP 1)**, 307-316 (1983).
62. H. Wesch, G. van Kaick, W. Riedel, et. al., "Recent results of the German Thorotrast study - statistical evaluation of animal experiments with regard to the non-radiation effects in human Thorotrastosis," *Hlth. Phys.*, **44 (SUP 1)**, 317-321 (1983).
63. M. W. Charles, "General considerations of the choice of dose limits, averaging areas and weighting factors for the skin in the light of revised skin cancer risk figures and experimental data on non-stochastic effects," *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.*, **57**, 841-858 (1990).
64. M. W. Charles, "The biological basis of radiological protection criteria for superficial, low penetrating radiation exposure," *Rad. Prot. Dosimetry*, **14**, 79-90 (1986).
65. M. W. Charles, "The hot particle problem," *Rad. Prot. Dosimetry*, **39**, 39-47 (1991).

66. M. W. Charles, J. P. Williams, and J. E. Coggle, "Skin carcinogenesis following uniform and non-uniform β irradiation," *Hlth. Phys.*, **55**, 399-406 (August 1988).
67. J. Hopewell, "Biological effects of irradiation on skin and recommended dose limits," *Rad Prot. Dosmtry.*, **39**, 11-24 (1991).
68. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements Report 106, *Limit for Exposure to "Hot Particles" on the Skin* (National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Bethesda, MD, 1989).
69. S. Needham and J. Coggle, "The acute effects of alpha and beta irradiation of mouse skin and the factors affecting response," *Rad. Prot. Dosmtry.*, **39**, 25-28 (1991).
70. J. Baum and D. Kaurin, "Reassessment of data used in setting exposure limits for hot particles," *Rad. Prot. Dosmtry.*, **39**, 49-54 (1991).
71. R. Coleman, et. al., "Depth-dose curves for ^{90}Sr and natural and depleted uranium in mylar", *Hlth Phys.*, **44**, 395-402 (1983).
72. W. Cross, "Tables of beta ray depth-dose distributions from normally incident beams and skin contamination", *Rad. Prot. Dosmtry.*, **39**, 101-104 (1991).
73. E. Piesch, et. al., "Dose rate measurements in the beta-photon radiation field from UO_2 pellets and glazed ceramics containing uranium", *Rad. Prot. Dosmtry.*, **14**, 109-112 (1986).
74. F. Rohloff, and M. Heinzelmann, "Calculation of dose rates for skin contamination by beta radiation", *Rad. Prot. Dosmtry.*, **14**, 279-287 (1986).
75. F. Mettler and R. Moseley, *Medical Effects of Ionizing Radiation*, (Grune and Stratton Inc., N. Y., 1985).
76. J. Conklin and R. Walker, *Military Radiobiology*, (Academic Press, Inc., N. Y., 1987).
77. *ICRP Publication 30, Limits for Intakes of Radionuclides by workers*, (Pergamon Press, N. Y., 1981).

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

ARMED FORCES INSTITUTE OF PATHOLOGY
ATTN: RADIOLOGIC PATHOLOGY DEPARTMENT

ARMED FORCES RADIOBIOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE
ATTN: PUBLICATIONS DIVISION
ATTN: LIBRARY

ARMY/AIR FORCE JOINT MEDICAL LIBRARY
ATTN: DASG-AAFJML

ASSISTANT TO SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
ATTN: AE
ATTN: HA(IA)

DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY
ATTN: TITL
ATTN: DDIR
ATTN: RARP
ATTN: MID
ATTN: PAO

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER
ATTN: DTIC-DDAC
ATTN: DTIC-FDAC

FIELD COMMAND DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY
ATTN: FCFS

INTERSERVICE NUCLEAR WEAPONS SCHOOL
ATTN: TCHTS/RH

LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY
ATTN: LIBRARY

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (ACQUISITION)
ATTN: OUSD(AVR&AT)

UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES
ATTN: LIBRARY

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES
ATTN: SLCHD-NW
ATTN: SLCSM-SE

LETTERMAN ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH
ATTN: SGRD-ULY-OH

SURGEON GENERAL OF THE ARMY
ATTN: MEDDH-N

U.S. ARMY AEROMEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
ATTN: SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION CENTER

U.S. ARMY ACADEMY OF HEALTH SCIENCES
ATTN: HSMC-FCM

U.S. ARMY CHEMICAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND
ENGINEERING CENTER
ATTN: SMCCR-RST

U.S. ARMY INSTITUTE OF SURGICAL RESEARCH
ATTN: DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH

U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL
DEFENSE
ATTN: SGRD-UV-R

U.S. ARMY NUCLEAR AND CHEMICAL AGENCY
ATTN: MONA-NU

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL
MEDICINE
ATTN: SGRD-UE-RPP

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE
ATTN: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES PROGRAM

WALTER REED ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH
ATTN: DIVISION OF EXPERIMENTAL
THERAPEUTICS

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVAL AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
ATTN: COMMANDING OFFICER

NAVAL MEDICAL COMMAND
ATTN: MEDCOM-21

NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND
ATTN: CODE 40C

NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE
ATTN: LIBRARY

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
ATTN: LIBRARY

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
ATTN: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES DIVISION

SURGEON GENERAL OF THE NAVY
ATTN: MEDICAL RESEARCH AND
DEVELOPEMENT

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE
ATTN: AFOSR

BROOKS AIR FORCE BASE
ATTN: AL/OEBSC
ATTN: USAFSAM/RZ
ATTN: AL/DEBL

NUCLEAR CRITERIA GROUP, SECRETARIAT
ATTN: OAS/XRS

SURGEON GENERAL OF THE AIR FORCE
ATTN: HQ USAF/SGPT
ATTN: HQ USAF/SGES

U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY
ATTN: HQ USAFA/DFBL

OTHER FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY
ATTN: ACQUISITIONS

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY
ATTN: RESEARCH LIBRARY, REPORTS SECTION

CENTER FOR DEVICES AND RADIOLOGICAL HEALTH
ATTN: HFZ-110

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
ATTN: DEPOSITORY RECEIVING SECTION
ATTN: CONSIGNED BRANCH

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS
ATTN: UNIT X

LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY
ATTN: REPORT LIBRARY/P364

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION
ATTN: RADLAB

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
ATTN: LIBRARY

NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE
ATTN: RADIATION RESEARCH PROGRAM

NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY
ATTN: LIBRARY

NATIONAL LIBRARY OF MEDICINE
ATTN: OPI

U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
ATTN: BETHESDA TECHNICAL LIBRARY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
ATTN: LIBRARY

U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
ATTN: WINCHESTER ENGINEERING AND
ANALYTICAL CENTER

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
ATTN: LIBRARY

RESEARCH AND OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

BRITISH LIBRARY (SERIAL ACQUISITIONS)
ATTN: DOCUMENT SUPPLY CENTRE

CENTRE DE RECHERCHES DU SERVICE DE SANTE DES ARMEES
ATTN: DIRECTOR

INHALATION TOXICOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE
ATTN: LIBRARY

INSTITUTE OF RADIOBIOLOGY
ARMED FORCES MEDICAL ACADEMY
ATTN: DIRECTOR

KAMAN SCIENCES CORPORATION
ATTN: DASAC

NBC DEFENSE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER OF THE
FEDERAL ARMED FORCES
ATTN: WWDBW ABC-SCHUTZ

NCTR-ASSOCIATED UNIVERSITIES
ATTN: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY
ATTN: LIBRARY OF SCIENCE AND MEDICINE

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
ATTN: LABORATORY FOR ENERGY-RELATED
HEALTH RESEARCH
ATTN: LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY

UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI
ATTN: UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL, RADIOISOTOPE
LABORATORY